



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,408	09/24/2003	John H. Zybura	MS1-1686US	8391
22801	7590	01/12/2009	EXAMINER	
LEE & HAYES, PLLC			YEN, SYLING	
601 W. RIVERSIDE AVENUE				
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SPOKANE, WA 99201			2166	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/12/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/671,408	ZYBURA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	SYLING YEN	2166	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SYLING YEN. (3) Robert C. Peck.  
 (2) Debbie Le. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 07 January 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 22.

Identification of prior art discussed: Thatcher et al (US 6,061,743).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1). 101 issue was discussed in view of In re Bilski  
2). Examiner indicated the proposed amended claim 1 has overcome the 112 2<sup>nd</sup> rejection.  
3). Examinver indicated the proposed amended claim 1 has overcome the cited section of prior art reference Thatcher.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/DEBBIE M LE/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2168	
--	--